Name: Droft and Solutions

## Math 30 – Mathematical Statistics

Midterm 2 Practice Exam 1

Instructions:

- 1. Show all work. You may receive partial credit for partially completed problems.
- 2. You may use calculators and a one-sided sheet of reference notes. You may not use any other references or any texts, apart from the provided tables, and distribution sheet.
- 3. You may not discuss the exam with anyone but me.
- 4. Suggestion: Read all questions before beginning and complete the ones you know best first. Point values per problem are displayed below if that helps you allocate your time among problems, as well as shown after each part of the problem.
- 5. Good luck!

Problem	1	2	3	Total
Points Earned				
Possible Points				40

1. Suppose are you sampling from a Normal distribution with unknown mean and known variance equal to 4. In a Bayesian framework, suppose you assign a normal prior to the mean with mean 68 and variance 1. A random sample of n=10 observations results in a sample average of 69.5.  $\chi_{10} = \chi_{10}$ 

a. Circle all choices below that could appropriately fill in the blank.

In a situation like this, the prior is referred to as 
$$a(n)$$
 \_\_\_\_\_\_ prior.  $\mathcal{U} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{E}, 1)$  informative uninformative improper proper conjugate complementary

N(u, 2)

b. State the posterior distribution of the mean.

pastern is

Normal 
$$\left(\frac{\sigma^{2} \xi + nr^{2} \bar{x}}{\sigma^{2} + nr^{2}} = \frac{4(68) + 10(1)(69.5)}{4 + 10(1)}, \int \frac{\sigma^{2} r^{2}}{\sigma^{2} + nr^{2}} = \int \frac{4(1)}{4 + 10(1)}\right)$$
  
Normal (69.07, 5345)

c. Determine the Bayes estimator of the mean. How does that estimator compare to the Frequentist estimator - the sample average?

The Bayes estimate is the pasticin mean 
$$\Rightarrow \frac{\sigma_{s}^{2} + nv^{2}x}{\sigma^{2} + nv^{2}}$$
  
= 69.07 in this example.  
 $\overline{X} = 69.5$  The Bayes est is longer b/c the prior  
mean was 68. They are not too different as  
estimates of the mean.

d. Determine a 95% Bayesian credible interval for the mean based on the posterior distribution, using equal tail probabilities.

Normal (69.07, .5345) need (a.b) 
$$\ni P(\mu e(a;b)) = .95$$
  
With compatibility of the compatibil

2. Suppose you have a random sample of n exponentially distributed random variables, with unknown mean,  $\beta$  .

a. What result would allow you to identify a most powerful test of  $H_0$ :  $\beta = \beta_0$  vs.

$$H_A: \beta = \beta_A, \beta_A > \beta_0$$
? But are simple hyp.  
 $\Rightarrow$  Neyman Pearson Lemma

b. Derive the most powerful test referred to in a. Make sure you highlight what statistic should be used in specifying the rejection region.

c. Is your test uniformly most powerful? Explain in one sentence.

1

d. Suppose you decide to test  $H_0$ :  $\beta = 20$  vs.  $H_A$ :  $\beta > 20$ , at a .05 level, and a random sample of 10 observations yields a sum of observations of 250. Would you be able to reject the null hypothesis? Explain.

Need 
$$\chi^2$$
 dest.  

$$\frac{2 \sum \chi_i}{20} \sim Gammo(n, 2) \sim \chi^2(2n)$$

$$\frac{\sum \chi_i}{10} \sim \chi^2(20) \qquad .05 \quad cutoff for \quad \chi^2(20) \quad is \quad 31.4104$$

$$\frac{250}{10} = 25 \qquad 25 < 31.4104 \qquad No, \ would not \\ be able to \\ rejut Ho.$$

3. A 1997 article on gun ownership surveyed 539 households and found that 133 of them owned at least one gun.

a. Is there significant evidence to suggest that more than 1/5 of households own at least one gun at a .05 significance level? Be sure to show your work, and use a rejection region approach.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{A}_{0}: & p = .2 & \mathcal{H}_{A}: & p > .2 & \hat{p} = \frac{133}{539} = .2467532 \\ \mathcal{Z} = & \frac{\hat{p} - \hat{p}_{0}}{\int \frac{p_{0}(1 - p_{0})}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{5}}} & = \frac{.3467532 - .2}{\int \frac{-2(.8)}{\sqrt{\frac{5}{5}}}} = \frac{.0467532}{.01722922} = 2.713599 \\ \mathcal{R}_{0}: & \hat{p} = \frac{.2467532}{.01722922} = 2.713599 \\ \mathcal{R}_{0}: & \hat{p} = \frac{.246753}{.01722922} = 2.71359 \\ \mathcal{R}_{0}: & \hat{p} = \frac{.246753}{.01729} \\ \mathcal{R}_{0}: & \hat{p} = \frac{.246753}{.01729} \\ \mathcal$$

b. Determine the p-value for your test in a.

$$p-v_{alme} = P(z \neq 2.71) = P(z \leq -2.71) = .0034$$

$$P(z \neq 2.71) = P(z \leq -2.71) = .0034$$

$$P(z \neq 2.71) = P(z \leq -2.71) = .0034$$

$$P(z \neq 2.71) = .0034$$

c. Suppose that only 10 households could be surveyed, and we believe 20% of households own at least one gun (still versus alternative that is it > 20%). If you used a rejection region where RR={Y:Y>2}, where Y is the number of households with at least one gun owned in your sample of 10, what is:  $\gamma \sim \beta_{10} (10, 72)$ 

.

i. the probability of the type | error = 
$$P(Y > 2 | Y \sim Bin(10, 12))$$
  
 $RR : \{Y > 2\}$   
=  $I - P(Y = 0, 1 \text{ sor } 2 | Y \sim Bin(10, 2)) = I - (( \stackrel{10}{\circ}), 2^{\circ}(.8)^{10} + ( \stackrel{10}{_1}), 2(.8)^{9} + ( \stackrel{10}{_2}), 2^{2}.8^{5}$   
=  $I - (1073742 + .2684355 + .3019899) = 1 - .6777996$   
 $\approx .3222$ 

ii. the probability of a type II error if under the alternative the percentage is really 40%

$$= P(Y < 2 | Y \sim Bin(10, 4))$$

$$= P(Y < 0, 1, 2 | Y \sim Bin(10, 4))$$

$$= \binom{10}{2} \cdot 4^{\circ} \binom{10}{16} + \binom{10}{1} \cdot 4\binom{10}{16}^{\circ} + \binom{10}{2} \cdot 4^{\circ} \binom{10}{2} \cdot 4^{\circ} \binom{10}{16}^{\circ}$$

$$= \cdot 006046618 + \cdot 04031078 + \cdot 1209324 = \cdot 1672898$$

Name: Draft and Solutions

## Math 30 - Mathematical Statistics

Midterm 2 Practice Exam 2

Instructions:

- 1. Show all work. You may receive partial credit for partially completed problems.
- 2. You may use calculators and a one-sided sheet of reference notes. You may not use any other references or any texts, apart from the provided tables, and distribution sheet.
- 3. You may not discuss the exam with anyone but me.
- 4. Suggestion: Read all questions before beginning and complete the ones you know best first. Point values per problem are displayed below if that helps you allocate your time among problems, as well as shown after each part of the problem.
- 5. Good luck!

Problem	1	2	3	Total
Points Earned				
Possible Points				40

1. A study of copper ore examined samples from 2 different locations in a mine and measured the amount of copper in grams for each ore specimen. 8 samples were collected from the first site, and yielded a sample mean of 2.6 and a sample standard deviation of .2138. From the second site, 10 samples were collected, with a sample mean of 2.3 and sample standard deviation of .1483.

a. Is there evidence to conclude that the two ore locations have different variances when measuring the amount of copper in grams at a .02 significance level?

Assuming sampling from normal distributions   

$$F = \frac{S_{1}^{2}}{S_{2}^{2}} = \frac{.2138^{2}}{.1483^{2}} = 2.078419$$

$$V F(7,9)$$

$$RR: \{F: F > F_{.025}^{7,9} \text{ or } F < \frac{1}{F_{.025}^{9,7}} \} = \{F: F75.61 \text{ or } F < \frac{1}{6.72}\}$$

$$= .1488$$

$$F \ge NOT \text{ in } RR, We do rout here endence to conclude that the nationce of ff$$

b. Is there evidence to conclude that the first site has a higher mean copper ore content than the second site at a .01 significance level? Small significance level?

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{i} = \mathcal{M}_{2} \qquad \mathcal{H}_{A}: \mathcal{M}_{1} = \mathcal{M}_{2} \qquad \text{proled } + -\text{test} \\ Sp^{2} = \frac{(n_{1}-1)s_{1}^{2} + (n_{2}-1)s_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2} = \frac{7(.2138)^{2} + 9(.1483)^{2}}{16} df = n_{1}+n_{2}-2 = 1/4-2=1/2 \\ = .03236932 \qquad Sp = .1799148 \approx .1799 \\ T = \frac{(2.6-2.3)-0}{.1799\sqrt{\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{10}}} = \frac{.3}{.08533406} = 3.515595 \\ RR: ET: T > 2.6813 \qquad Rejut Ho \\ Qus, there is environce to concluste site 1 has a higher mean capput centent then site 2. \end{aligned}$$

c. When performing multiple tests, the overall significance level is often divided up over the different tests, in what is known as a <u><u>Bonfunon</u></u> correction.

2. A cough syrup was subjected to extensive testing to determine its alcohol content. Assuming that the alcohol content can be modeled by a normal distribution, in a Bayesian framework, the prior for  $\mu | \tau$  is

assigned to be a  $Normal\left(8, \sqrt{\frac{1}{3\tau}}\right)$ , and the prior on  $\tau$  is assigned to be a Gamma \* (3,12). A random

sample of 50 observations yields a sample average of 8.6 and sample standard deviation of 1.26.

a. Determine the numeric values of the four posterior hyperparameters.

2

$$\mathcal{U}_{0} = 8 \quad \lambda_{0} = 3 \quad \alpha_{0} = 3 \quad \beta_{0} = 12$$

$$\lambda_{1} = \lambda_{0} + n = 3 + 50 = 53 \quad \alpha_{1} = \alpha_{0} + \frac{n}{2} = 3 + 25 = 28$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{1} = \frac{\lambda_{0} \mathcal{U}_{0} + n\bar{x}}{\lambda_{0} + n} = \frac{3(8) + 50(8.6)}{3 + 50} = \frac{24 + 430}{53} = \frac{454}{53} = 8.5660$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{1} = \mathcal{B}_{0} + \frac{n-1}{2}s^{2} + \frac{n}{2}\frac{\lambda_{0}(\bar{x} - \mathcal{U}_{0})^{2}}{2(\lambda_{0} + n)} = 12 + \frac{49}{2}(1.26^{2}) + \frac{50(3)(8.6 - 8)^{2}}{2(53)}$$

$$= 12 + 38 \, 8962 + .3679245 = 51.26412$$

b. Determine a 95% posterior credible interval for  $\mu$ .

$$\mathcal{U}_{1} \stackrel{t}{=} t_{2\alpha_{1}}^{K} \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}\alpha_{1}}\right)^{1/2} \qquad \text{That's off our chart so} \\ \mathcal{U}_{2} \stackrel{t}{=} t_{2\alpha_{1}}^{K} \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\lambda_{1}\alpha_{1}}\right)^{1/2} \qquad \text{That's off our chart so} \\ use inf now = \\ 8.5666 \stackrel{t}{=} 1.96 \left(\frac{51.26412}{53(28)}\right)^{1/2} \qquad t.025 = 1.96 \\ 8.5660 \stackrel{t}{=} .1859 \implies (8.3701, 8.7419) \end{cases}$$

c. In the case when the Frequentist CI and Bayesian CI agree, the normal-gamma prior used must be (circle all that apply):



```
d. In Bayesian hypothesis testing, the main computation is to compute a Bayes factor, which
involves numerical in Leg Latin rather than maximization, and which (circle one)
                                     make it possible to find evidence in favor of the null hypothesis.
              does not
does
```

3. Suppose you have a random sample of n Poisson random variables with unknown mean  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  .

a. Develop a UMP test procedure that would allow you to test  $H_0: \theta = 1$  vs.  $H_A: \theta < 1$ . Be sure to highlight the test statistic used in your rejection region and state why your test is UMP.

$$\Theta = | -\Theta_{0} \quad \Theta < | \quad \Theta_{0} < |$$

$$\int_{1}^{n(\chi)} = \frac{\Theta^{\chi} e^{-\Theta}}{\chi!} \Rightarrow L(\Theta) = \frac{\Theta^{\chi} e^{-n\Theta}}{\pi\chi!!} \qquad \text{Rejet if } \frac{L(\Theta)}{L(\Theta)} \\ \frac{L(\Theta)}{L(\Theta)} = \frac{1}{\frac{\pi\chi!}{\pi\chi!!}} = \frac{e^{-n}}{\Theta_{0}^{\frac{\pi\chi}{2}} e^{-n\Theta_{0}}} = \frac{e^{-n(1-\Theta_{0})}}{\Theta_{0}^{\frac{\pi\chi}{2}} e^{-n\Theta_{0}}} \\ \leq \frac{e^{-n(1-\Theta_{0})}}{\pi\chi!!} \\ \frac{e^{-n(1-\Theta_{0})}}{\frac{\pi\chi!}{\pi\chi!!}} < \Theta_{0}^{\frac{\pi\chi}{2}} \Rightarrow \ln \kappa' < \chi' \ln \Theta_{0} \qquad \frac{h(e^{-\Theta_{0}})}{\ln \Theta - \Theta} \\ \leq \frac{h(e^{-\Theta_{0}})}{\frac{\pi\chi!}{\pi\chi!!}} \\ \frac{e^{-n(1-\Theta_{0})}}{\frac{\pi\chi!}{\pi\chi!!}} \\ \frac{e^{-n(1-\Theta_{0})}}{\frac{\pi\chi!}{\pi\chi!!}} \\ = \frac{e^{-n(1-\Theta_{0})}}{\frac{\pi\chi!}{\pi\chi!!}} \\ \frac{e^{-n(1-\Theta_{0})}}{\frac{\pi\chi!}{\pi\chi!}} \\$$

b. If you decide the rejection region will reject the null only if the sum of the n Poisson RVs is 0, what is the probability of type I error if n=3?

$$Y = \sum_{c=1}^{2} X_{i} \qquad \text{Reyinf} \quad Y = 0 \qquad Y \sim \text{Poisson}(3)$$
  
$$\alpha = P(\exists ype I) = P(\text{Reyinf} \mid Y \sim \text{Poisson}(3)) = P(Y=0) = \frac{3}{0!} = e^{-3} = e^{-3} = \frac{3}{0!} = \frac{3}{0!}$$